

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DO 2 20221 www.iispto.gev

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 8733.250.21 3771 Hiroshi Komatsu 10/015,765

12/17/2001

7590 01/13/2003 30827 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT 2871

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- An
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/015,765	KOMATSU, HIROSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tarifur R Chowdhury	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	:S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commul BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 33-71 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>33-66 and 68-71</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>67</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional app	olication).
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	* * *		
Attachment(s)	. ,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 33-47, 64-66 and 68-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Hebiguchi, USPAT 6,091,473.
- 3. The AAPA described in the present application and shown in Figs. 1a-1b, discloses an in-plane switching mode liquid crystal display comprising:
 - gate (1) and data (2) bus lines on a first substrate (10) defining a pixel region;
 - a common bus line (3) parallel to the gate bus line;
 - a thin film transistor at a crossing of the gate and data bus lines, the thin film transistor having a gate electrode (5), a gate insulator (12), a semiconductor layer (15), a source electrode (6) and a drain electrode (7);
 - data electrode (8) and common electrode (9) parallel to the data bus line in the pixel region;
 - a passivation layer (20) over the thin film transistor and the data electrode (8);
 and
 - a first alignment layer (23a) on the common electrode.

The AAPA differs from the claimed invention because it does not explicitly

Art Unit: 2871

disclose that the common electrode is formed on the passivation layer.

Hebiguchi discloses an in-plane switching mode liquid crystal display wherein the common electrode is formed on the passivation layer (Figs. 4B, 4C). Hebiguchi further discloses that such a structure provides improved numerical aperture (col. 9, lines 56-59).

Hebiguchi is evidence that ordinary workers in the art of liquid crystal would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to form the common electrode above the passivation layer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the display device of the AAPA such that the common electrode is formed on the passivation layer so that the numerical aperture is improved, as per the teachings of Hebiguchi.

As to claim 34, the AAPA described in the present application also shows in Fig. 1b that a black mask (28) and a color filter (29) is formed on a second substrate (11) opposite to the first substrate (10).

As to claims 35 and 36, typically a black mask includes one of a Cr and a CrOx metal with a thickness of about 0.1 micro meter and a width of 10 micro meter.

As to claim 37, the AAPA shows in Fig. 1(b) that a second alignment layer (23b) on the color filter layer (29).

As to claim 38, it is common and known to use polyimide as alignment layers in a liquid crystal display.

Art Unit: 2871

As to claim 39, the AAPA also shows in fig. 1(b) that a liquid crystal layer (30) is between the first and second substrates.

Page 4

As to claims 40-42, it is common and known for an active matrix liquid crystal display to have gate, data, common pads, gate and data lines connected to driving circuits.

As to claims 43-46, the use of metal such as Mo/Al double metal layers as the gate together with the gate electrode and the common bus line, using Cr as the metal for the data together with the source and drain electrodes and using ITO as the common electrode are known in the art and thus would have been obvious to avail a proven material.

As to claim 47, connecting a grounding wire to the gate and data bus lines through a electrostatic shielding circuit is common and known in the art and thus would have been obvious to optimize device performance.

As to claims 64, 68, 69 and 71, it is common and known in the art to form a light shielding layer on the passivation layer to prevent light leakage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the display device of the AAPA when modified by Hebiguchi such that a light shielding layer is formed on the passivation layer so that light leakage is prevented.

As to claims 65, 66 and 70, common and known material used to form light shielding layer and common electrode includes Mo and ITO wherein the typical thickness of the Mo is about 1000 Å.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,765 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

4. Claims 48-60, 62 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Hebiguchi et al., (Hebiguchi), USPAT 6,137,557.

- 5. The AAPA described in the present application and shown in Figs. 1a-1b, discloses an in-plane switching mode liquid crystal display comprising:
 - gate (1) and data (2) bus lines on a first substrate (10) defining a pixel region;
 - a common bus line (3) parallel to the gate bus line;
 - a thin film transistor at a crossing of the gate and data bus lines, the thin film transistor having a gate electrode (5), a gate insulator (12), a semiconductor layer (15), a source electrode (6) and a drain electrode (7);
 - data electrode (8) and common electrode (9) parallel to the data bus line in the pixel region;
 - a passivation layer (20) over the thin film transistor and the data electrode (8);
 and
 - a first alignment layer (23a) on the common electrode.

The AAPA differs from the claimed invention because it does not explicitly disclose that the common electrodes has first and second oblique sides.

Hebiguchi discloses an in-plane switching mode liquid crystal display wherein the common electrode has first and second oblique sides (3A, 3B, 4A). Hebiguchi further discloses that common electrodes having various shapes such as oblique sides attains high responsiveness (col. 9, lines 50-63).

Art Unit: 2871

Hebiguchi is evidence that ordinary workers in the art of liquid crystal would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to use common electrodes having first and second oblique sides.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the display device of the AAPA such that the common electrodes includes first and second oblique sides so that high responsiveness is attained, as per the teachings of Hebiguchi.

Accordingly, claims 48 and 62 would have been obvious.

As to claims 49-60, considering Fig. 3A (reproduced below) of Hebiguchi one of ordinary skill in the art would easily realize that the first oblique side is inclined counterclockwise and the second oblique side is inclined clockwise to an X axis direction wherein the angles are between 0 to 90 degrees. As to setting the angles at desired position is well within the level of ordinary skill in the art and thus would have been obvious to optimize device performance.

As to claim 63, it is common and known that when a common electrode or data electrode overlaps a gate and/or data bus line it creates storage capacitance.

- 9. Claim 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Hebiguchi ('557) as applied to claims 48-60, 62 and 63 above and in view of Hebiguchi, USPAT 6,091,473.
- 10. As to claim 61, the limitation lacking is that the common electrode is formed on the passivation layer.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Hebiguchi discloses and in-plane switching mode liquid crystal display wherein the common electrode is formed on the passivation layer (Figs. 4B, 4C). Hebiguchi further discloses that such a structure provides improved numerical aperture (col. 9, lines 56-59).

Page 7

Hebiguchi is evidence that ordinary workers in the art of liquid crystal would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to form the common electrode above the passivation layer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the display device of the AAPA such that the common electrode is formed on the passivation layer so that the numerical aperture is improved, as per the teachings of Hebiguchi.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 67 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the prior arts alone or in combination discloses the claimed in-plane switching type liquid crystal display comprising various elements, more specifically a light shielding layer on the passivation layer wherein the light shielding layer overlaps a portion of the gate bus line through a hole in the gate insulator and the passivation layer.

Art Unit: 2871

Response to Amendment

12. It is acknowledged and appreciated that applicant has amended the title.

Response to Arguments

- 13. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/29/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- a) In response to applicant's argument that Hebiguchi ('473) fails to teach an alignment layer and thus fails to teach or suggest a common electrode and a first alignment layer as recited in claims 33, 64 and 69, it is respectfully pointed out to applicant that Hebiguchi ('473) (secondary reference) was used to find a teaching for forming a common electrode on a passivation layer not to find a teaching for having an alignment layer on the common electrode, and since the admitted prior art teaches an alignment layer and Hebiguchi suggests one of ordinary skilled in the art a reason for forming the common electrode on the passivation layer, the modified device would provide a structure wherein the alignment layer is on the common electrode. Further, the term, "on" is not interpreted by the examiner as being in direct contact. For example, if there are two books on top of each other on a table, it is considered that both books are on the table not only the bottom book that is in direct contact with the table. Further, it is also respectfully pointed out to applicant that, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
 - b) In response to applicant's argument Hebiguchi ('473) fails to even teach an

Page 8

Page 9

Art Unit: 2871

alignment layer, it is respectfully pointed out to applicant that Hebiguchi discloses a orientation film (applicant's alignment layer) (col. 6, lines 50-51).

- c) In response to applicant's argument that Hebiguchi is not attempting to solve similar problems with the same solution, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).
- d) In response to applicant's argument that the examiner has failed to point out a particular finding that would motivate one of ordinary skill in the art to combine or modify the APAF, it is respectfully pointed out to applicant that Hebiguchi ('473) is related to an in-plane switching type liquid crystal display and teaches a reason such as to improve numerical aperture for forming the common electrode on the passivation layer.

 Therefore, it is clear that one of ordinary skilled in the art would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to combine or modify APAF.
- e) In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, the rejection based on the applicant's admitted prior art in view of Hebiguchi ('473) is proper and thus maintained.

- f) In response to applicant's argument that the limitations of claim 48 is not taught or suggested by the combination of APAF and Hebiguchi ('473), it is respectfully pointed out to applicant that the rejection was based on the APAF and Hebiguchi ('557) not Hebiguchi ('473).
- g) In response to applicant's argument that Hebiguchi ('557) fails to cure the deficiencies s of the applicant's admitted prior art and Hebiguchi ('473), it is respectfully pointed out to applicant that Hebiguchi ('557) was not used in combination with the admitted prior art and Hebiguchi ('473) rather it was used in combination with the admitted prior art alone and the admitted prior art in combination with Hebiguchi ('557) disclose the all claimed limitations (see the rejection).

Therefore, the rejection based on APAF and Hebiguchi ('557) is proper and thus maintained.

Conclusion

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2871

Page 11

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R Chowdhury whose telephone number is (703) 308-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on (703) 305-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7005 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

TRC January 6, 2003

1782.

. Chowdhury

Patent Examiner(

Technology Center 280